

# NATIONAL SECURITY COUNSELORS

1200 SOUTH COURTHOUSE ROAD  
SUITE 124  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

TELEPHONE: (301) 728-5908  
FACSIMILE: (240) 681-2189

KEL MCCLANAHAN, ESQ., EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (admitted in NY, DC)  
EMAIL: [REDACTED] NATIONALSECURITYLAW.ORG

BRADLEY P. MOSS, ESQ., DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (admitted in IL, DC)  
EMAIL: [REDACTED] NATIONALSECURITYLAW.ORG

12 May 2010

Delores M. Nelson  
Information and Privacy Coordinator  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington, DC 20505

Re: FOIA Request – *Studies in Intelligence* TOCs

Dear Ms. Nelson:

This is a request on behalf of National Security Counselors (“NSC”) under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, *et seq.*, for copies of **all Tables of Contents (“TOCs”) from the Central Intelligence Agency (“CIA”) in-house journal *Studies in Intelligence***. You may exclude TOCs currently available on the *Studies in Intelligence* website <https://www.cia.gov/library/center-for-the-study-of-intelligence/csi-publications/csi-studies/index.html>.

If you deny all or part of this request, please cite the specific exemptions you believe justify your refusal to release the information or permit the review and notify us of your appeal procedures available under the law. In excising material, please “black out” rather than “white out” or “cut out.” In addition, we draw your attention to President Obama’s 21 January 2009 *Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies*, directing federal agencies to adopt a presumption in favor of disclosure and stating that government information should not be kept confidential “because of speculative or abstract fears.”

We are hereby requesting classification as a representative of the news media. NSC is a non-profit organization under Virginia law, has the ability to disseminate information on a wide scale, and intends to use information obtained through FOIA in original works. According to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii), codifying the ruling of *Nat’l Security Archive v. Dep’t of Defense*, 880 F.2d 1381 (D.C. Cir. 1989),

the term ‘a representative of the news media’ means any person or entity that gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience.

NSC has clear intent to “publish[ ] or otherwise disseminate[ ] information to the public.” *Id.* at 1386 (quoting the following legislative history: 1) “It is critical that the phrase ‘representative of the news media’ be broadly interpreted if the act is to work as expected. . . . In fact, *any person or organization which regularly publishes or disseminates information to the public . . . should qualify for waivers as a ‘representative of the news media.’*” 132 Cong. Rec. S14298 (daily ed. Sept. 30, 1986) (emphasis in original quotation); 2) “A request by a reporter or other person affiliated with a newspaper, magazine, television or radio station, *or other entity that is in the business of publishing or otherwise disseminating information to the public* qualifies under this provision.” 132 Cong. Rec. H9463 (Oct. 8, 1986) (emphasis in original quotation)). Our website, where much of the information received through our FOIA requests is posted for all to review, can be accessed at <http://www.nationalsecuritylaw.org>. In addition, we also intend to use information obtained through FOIA in our own published opinion editorials, journal articles, and the like. I personally have already published information received through FOIA in this manner (Kel McClanahan, *A Perception Based Model for Comparing Intelligence Communities*, 25(2) AMER. INTELLIGENCE J. 46 (Winter 2007/2008) (includes material obtained through a CIA FOIA request)). Therefore, in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act and relevant case law, NSC should be considered a representative of the news media.

We are also requesting a public interest fee waiver. There can be no question that the information sought would contribute to the public’s understanding of government operations or activities and is in the public interest. *Studies in Intelligence* provides insight into the analytical methods of CIA experts by illustrating on what information they focus, how they analyze the information so selected, and how their conclusions measure up to subsequent historical evidence. Similarly, many articles published in *Studies in Intelligence* possess great historical value, a value recognized by the ongoing CIA declassification efforts currently underway. However, for one reason or another, articles occasionally slip through the cracks of the declassification efforts or are determined to be not ripe for declassification at that particular time. We are requesting these records so that we can identify these previously withheld articles by cross-referencing them with the requests known to be declassified. Then we or others requesters who study our comparison can request them by name in subsequent FOIA requests, recognizing that the rationale for withholding an article several years ago may not be applicable any longer, and that release of these articles now will clearly contribute to the public’s understanding of past, present, and future government operations or activities. We are filing this request as part of a two-step process.

In addition, with respect to the specific requirement that NSC must demonstrate an expertise in the subject area in order to satisfy the fee waiver criterion that disclosure of the requested information must contribute to the understanding of the public at large, I personally have worked for a national security law firm and served as Director of FOIA Operations for the James Madison Project for two years, have litigated several FOIA/PA cases, teach National Security Law at the University of the District of Columbia, and recently received an LLM in National Security Law from Georgetown University Law Center.

The CIA is required by law to respond to this request within 20 working days. Failure to timely comply may result in the filing of a civil action against your agency in United States District Court.

We request that any documents or records produced in response to this request be provided in electronic (soft-copy) form wherever possible. Acceptable formats are .pdf, .doc, .jpg, .gif, .tif. Please provide soft-copy records by email or on a CD if email is not feasible. However, NSC does not agree to pay an additional fee to receive records on a CD, and in the instance that such a fee is required, NSC will accept a paper copy of responsive records.

Your cooperation in this matter would be appreciated. If you wish to discuss this request, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kel McClanahan". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Kel McClanahan  
Executive Director